

# Documents and Links Related to 3 Initiatives for Digital Democracy

**Background**      [Organized criminal appearance](#)

**1.**  
**Reason for the attack**      [Mannesmann Mobilfunk's rescue plan](#) featuring its own [search engine patent](#)

[Freedom of choice among search algorithms is essential for preserving democracy](#)

**Attack**

**2001 to 2012**      [Legal prosecution following a staged bankruptcy](#)

[Combating the "state within a state" with European AI](#)

**2 BvR 907/24** I had offered the state of North Rhine-Westphalia the opportunity to resolve out of court the still-outstanding claim against the RVR and the conduct that has been outside the bounds of the law for over 20 years, and to raise awareness of the consequences for Europe's future and the constitutional order.

**2.**  
**Reason for the attack**      [Launch of the social media program getmysense](#)

[What is getmysense](#)

**2013**  
**Attack**      [Online for only a few days due to cyberattacks](#)

**3.**  
**Reason for the attack**      [Politically backed petition against the war in Ukraine](#)

**Attack**

**2022 to 2025**      **1 BvR 227/23 Fake website**, purportedly a large contracting business without a contractor's license, collects €15,000; **court finds no fraud.**

**Fake investors**      A person claiming to be Elizabeth Packer from Sequoia Capital made contact via the professional network XING. According to

Wikipedia: "Companies in which Sequoia has already invested account for about 20 percent of the NASDAQ."

A few days later, another Xing contact, Irina Pfeiffer—allegedly a shareholder at Canadian Solar—confirmed her identity.

Both used different strategies to substantiate their interest in acquiring a stake in GISAD.

**10 C 22/24 AG KR** The defamatory page, which was reposted online in 2022 after years of publication by a publishing house, was marked with "noindex" in accordance with ECJ Case C-131/12.

**In 1 BvR 1640/24**, I have already pointed out that, in my view, the individual right to resistance under Section 20(4) G is fulfilled and that I repeatedly become the victim of a ["gang-like appearance."](#)

**In Case No. 1 BvR 1641/24**, the plaintiff's representative refused to disclose her name and position in court and, using forged documents, set off a trigger that replicated the initial attack. This subsequently resulted in significant blackouts for me.

**In Case No. 14 C 4/24**, the judge sees no harm caused by the property manager, who forged a WEG protocol and kept me occupied for many hours with nonsense, and advises me to withdraw the lawsuit. I state that my withdrawal is not to be construed as an acknowledgment of the legality of the defendant's actions. Rather, it is a necessary step to focus my energy on addressing the root causes. After the plaintiff set the amount in dispute at €10,000, I pointed out the contradiction regarding the alleged lack of damages.

**1 BvR 1426/25** Here, I anticipated and objected to a surprise decision in 14 C 42/23 and 14 C 53/23; see also my letter to the [President of the Regional Court](#) in 2026. **1 BvR 91/26** regarding 14 C 42/23 and 14 C 53/23, concerning how my [rental income](#) is also to be [taken away from](#) me, and [a constitutional complaint](#). As well as the new proceeding **14 C 33/25**. In principle, the co-owners Charlotte Schäfer and Michael Preiser can run the building into the ground as they please. They refuse to repair a floor covering located within their exclusive ownership. According to an expert opinion, the balcony is in danger of collapsing. The welfare of my own and other children—in the context of my work as a music educator—is at risk, yet no one seems to care.

In reference to [EU Petition 1134/202](#), I have filed a comprehensive constitutional complaint under case number **2 BvR 1668/25**, in the hope that, given general developments in the U.S., the Constitutional Court will finally recognize that it must protect innovators like me. The European Commission had referred my complaint, number 6186996, back to the Federal Constitutional Court; see ["Combating the State Within a State with European AI"](#) and ["Constitutional Complaint."](#)

**Internet AI** seems to be hallucinating. In reality, [ChatGPT is threatening me with death.](#)

**Sewage sludge in the office.** A contractor offering services for 12 trades provides a free home inspection, unscrews the manhole cover, and floods my office with 20 cm of sewage sludge.

**2BvR 1668/25:** Systematic elimination of fundamental rights through the mandatory representation by counsel as a structural constitutional problem. [European AI instead of a lawyer—where there is an interest in eliminating the lawyer!](#)

**ECHR complaint** as a model for other EU countries to hold Germany accountable. [Last chance: ECHR complaint by January 23, 2026](#), and [the complaint](#).

If someone's purse is stolen, they can go to the police. There, at least, an attempt will be made to help them.

Those who advocate for the preservation of democracy in the digital society face opponents who, over decades, have created legal loopholes through which anyone can be sidelined. The law becomes a farce when there is no upper limit on how many lawyers can be demonstrably manipulated, to the point where one can no longer even represent oneself without a lawyer. It is understandable that lawyers have no interest in a case where, due to past history, a relationship of trust between client and lawyer is out of the question.

It is fitting in this context that the right to resistance (Article 20(4) of the Basic Law) was well-intentioned by the drafters of the Basic Law as a safeguard against a hostile takeover. However, its legal elaboration was prevented, as this would have stood in the way of remote control and the dissolution of democratic principles.

Accordingly, it does not help me that the federal government (the "traffic light" coalition) has not disputed that the requirements of Article 20 (4) of the Basic Law are met in my case.

It is therefore not enough to create an infrastructure within the EU that preserves democracy in the digital realm. If the legal loopholes cannot be closed, those who are committed to preserving democratic structures must at least be granted special support for their protection.