Documents and Links on 3 Campaigns for Digital Democracy

Background gang-style pattern

1.
Reason for the attack
2000 Mannesmann Mobilfunk rescue plan with its own search engine patent

Freedom of choice between search algorithms is a prerequisite for preserving democracy

Attack

2001 to 2012 Legal prosecution following a staged insolvency

Fighting the state within the state with European AI

2 BvR 907/24 I had offered the state of North Rhine-Westphalia to heal, out of court, the claim against the RVR that is still open to this day and its conduct that has been remote from the law for more than 20 years, and to raise awareness of the consequences for Europe's future and the constitutional order.

2.
Reason for the attack
2013 Launch of the getmySense social media programme

What is getmySense

Attack
2013 Online for only a few days due to cyberattacks

3.
Reason for the attack
2022 Politically supported motion against the war in Ukraine

Attack

2022 to 2025 **1 BvR 227/23 Fake website**, a supposedly large craft business without a tradesman's licence pockets 15,000 € **The court sees no fraud.**

Fake-Investors An alleged Elizabeth Packer from Sequoia Capital made contact via the professional network XING. According to Wikipedia, "the

companies in which Sequoia has already invested make up around 20 percent of the NASDAQ."
A few days later, a further Xing contact emerged in the form of an Irina Pfeiffer, allegedly a shareholder at Canadian Solar. Both used different strategies to underpin their interest in a stake in GISAD.

10 C 22/24 AG KR The reputation-damaging page, which was put back online in 2022 after a publisher had published it for years, was marked with "noindex" in a settlement on the basis of ECJ C-131/12.

1 BvR 1640/24 I had already pointed out that for me the individual right to resistance under Article 20 (4) GG is fulfilled and that I repeatedly become the victim of a "gang-style pattern".

1 BvR 1641/24 The plaintiff's representative refused to state her name and her function at court and, with forged documents, set a trigger that replicated the first attack. The result was that I subsequently suffered severe blackouts.

14 C 4/24 The judge sees no harm caused by the property manager, who forged an owners' association (WEG) record and kept me pointlessly busy for many hours, and advises me to withdraw the lawsuit. I state that my withdrawal is not to be interpreted as recognition of the lawfulness of the defendant's actions. Rather, it is a necessary step in order to direct my energies towards fighting the root causes. After the value in dispute was set at €10,000, I pointed out in my objection the allegedly absent harm.

1 BvR 1426/25 Here I had anticipated and objected to a surprise decision in 14 C 42/23 and 14 C 53/23; see also my letter to the President of the Regional Court in 2026 . **1 BvR 91/26** on 14 C 42/23 and 14 C 53/23, on how even my rental income is to be taken from me , and constitutional complaint . Plus new proceedings **14 C 33/25** . In principle, the co-owners can run the building down as they please. A floor covering located within their separate property remains unrepaired. According to an expert report, the balcony is in danger of collapse. The welfare of their own and other people's children is endangered, yet nobody is interested.

2 BvR 1668/25 In it, I initiated, with reference to the EU Petition 1134/202, a cross-cutting constitutional complaint, in the hope that, given the general development in the USA, the Federal Constitutional Court will finally recognise that it must protect innovators like me. The EU Commission had referred my complaint number 6186996 back to the Federal Constitutional Court, see **Fighting the state within the state with European AI** and **Constitutional complaint** **.**

Internet AI seems to hallucinate. In reality, ChatGPT threatens me with death.

Sewage sludge in the office. A craftsman covering 12 trades offers a free house check, unscrews the manhole cover and floods my office with 20 cm of sewage sludge.

2 BvR 1668/25 Systematic elimination of fundamental rights through the compulsory use of lawyers as a structural constitutional problem. European AI instead of a lawyer, wherever there is an interest in eliminating one!

ECtHR complaint as a template for other EU countries to hold Germany to account. **Last chance: ECtHR complaint by 23 January 2026** and the complaint .

If someone has their handbag stolen, they can go to the police. There, at least, an attempt is made to help them.

Anyone who campaigns for the preservation of democracy in the digital society has adversaries who have spent decades creating legal loopholes through which anyone can be eliminated. The law becomes a farce when there is no upper limit on how many lawyers can demonstrably be manipulated, so that one can no longer even represent oneself without a lawyer. It is understandable that lawyers have no interest in a mandate in which, given the history involved, a relationship of trust between client and lawyer is ruled out.

It also fits the picture that the right of resistance (Article 20 (4) GG) was well intentioned by the drafters of the Basic Law as a defence against a hostile takeover. However, a detailed legal elaboration was prevented, because it would have stood in the way of remote control and the dissolution of democratic principles.

Accordingly, it does not help me that the Federal Government (the "traffic light" coalition) has not objected to the fact that the preconditions of Article 20 (4) GG are fulfilled in my case.

It is therefore not enough to create an infrastructure in the EU that preserves democracy in the digital sphere. If the legal loopholes cannot be closed, those who campaign for the preservation of democratic structures must at least be granted special protection.